BARSHAY, RIZZO & LOPEZ, PLLC

445 Broadhollow Road | Suite CL18

Melville, New York 11747

Tel: (631) 210-7272 Fax: (516) 706-5055 Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: BRL21368

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY NEWARK DIVISION

Bartholomew Cohen, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No:

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Mercantile Adjustment Bureau, LLC,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Bartholomew Cohen, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states, and alleges against defendant Mercantile Adjustment Bureau, LLC as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action to recover damages for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (the "FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). The Court has supplemental jurisdiction of any state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

- 3. This court has jurisdiction over defendant Mercantile Adjustment Bureau, LLC because it regularly conducts and transacts business in this state, and the conduct complained of herein occurred in this Judicial District.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Judicial District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the conduct complained of herein occurred in this Judicial District

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Bartholomew Cohen ("Plaintiff") is a natural person who is a citizen of the State of New Jersey residing in Essex County, New Jersey.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant Mercantile Adjustment Bureau, LLC ("Defendant") is a company existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business in Williamsville, New York.
- 8. Defendant has transacted business within this state as is more fully set forth hereinafter in this Complaint.
- 9. Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect debts asserted to be owed to others.
- 10. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
 - 11. The principal purpose of Defendant's business is the collection of such debts.
- 12. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including telephones and the mails, in furtherance of its debt collection business.
 - 13. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 14. The acts of Defendant as described in this Complaint were performed by Defendant or on Defendant's behalf by its owners, officers, agents, and/or employees acting within the scope

of their actual or apparent authority. As such, all references to "Defendant" in this Complaint shall mean Defendant or its owners, officers, agents, and/or employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes \$12,013.10 to Regional Acceptance Corporation ("the alleged Debt").
- 16. Plaintiff did not owe \$12,013.10 ("the Claimed Amount") to Regional Acceptance Corporation ("Regional").
 - 17. Plaintiff did not owe the alleged Debt to Regional.
 - 18. Plaintiff was not indebted to Regional for the amount of the alleged Debt.
- 19. Defendant's representation that Plaintiff owes the Claimed Amount is false and deceptive.
 - 20. The alleged Debt does not arise from any business enterprise of Plaintiff.
 - 21. The alleged Debt is a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 22. At an exact time known only to Defendant, the alleged Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 23. At the time the alleged Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection, the alleged Debt was in default.
- 24. In its efforts to collect the alleged Debt, Defendant decided to contact Plaintiff by written correspondence.
- 25. Rather than preparing and mailing such written correspondence to Plaintiff on its own, Defendant decided to utilize a third-party vendor to perform such activities on its behalf.
- 26. As part of its utilization of the third-party vendor, Defendant conveyed information regarding the alleged Debt to the third-party vendor.

- 27. The information conveyed by Defendant to the third-party vendor included Plaintiff's status as a debtor, the precise amount of the alleged Debt, the entity to which Plaintiff allegedly owed the debt, among other things.
- 28. Defendant's conveyance of the information regarding the alleged Debt to the third-party vendor is a "communication" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 29. The third-party vendor then populated some or all this information into a prewritten template, printed, and mailed the letter to Plaintiff at Defendant's direction.
- 30. That correspondence, dated August 4, 2021, was received and read by Plaintiff. (A true and accurate copy of that correspondence (the "Letter") is annexed hereto as "Exhibit 1.")
- 31. The Letter, which conveyed information about the alleged Debt, is a "communication" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 32. The Letter was the initial written communication Plaintiff received from Defendant concerning the alleged Debt.
 - 33. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g and 1692e protects Plaintiff's concrete interests and rights.
- 34. Plaintiff has the interest and right to be free from collection efforts on debts Plaintiff does not owe.
- 35. Plaintiff has the interest and right to be free from unwarranted threats to Plaintiff's credit rating.
- 36. Plaintiff has the interest and right to be free from the fear of being sued on debts Plaintiff does not owe.
- 37. Plaintiff has the interest and right to be free from deceptive and/or misleading communications from debt collectors, including Defendant.
 - 38. As set forth herein, Defendant deprived Plaintiff of these rights.

- 39. Plaintiff's injury is "particularized" and "actual" in that the conduct that deprived Plaintiff of his rights was directed by Defendant to Plaintiff specifically.
- 40. Plaintiff's injury is directly traceable to Defendant's conduct because Defendant initiated the communications, and but for Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff would not have been deprived of his rights.
 - 41. Plaintiff has been misled by Defendant's conduct.
- 42. Defendant's conduct as described in this Complaint was willful, with the purpose to either harm Plaintiff or with reckless disregard for the harm to Plaintiff that could result from Defendant's conduct.
- 43. Plaintiff justifiably fears that, absent this Court's intervention, Defendant will continue to use abusive, deceptive, unfair, and unlawful means in its attempts to collect the alleged Debt and other alleged debts.
- 44. Plaintiff justifiably fears that, absent this Court's intervention, Defendant will ultimately cause Plaintiff unwarranted economic harm.
- 45. Plaintiff justifiably fears that, absent this Court's intervention, Defendant will ultimately cause Plaintiff unwarranted harm to Plaintiff's credit rating.
- 46. Plaintiff justifiably fears that, absent this Court's intervention, Defendant will ultimately cause Plaintiff to be sued for a debt Plaintiff does not owe.
- 47. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff wasted time, was caused to be confused and unsure as to Plaintiff's rights, and ultimately sought counsel and advice causing Plaintiff the risk of incurring damages including reasonable attorneys' fees in reviewing Plaintiff's rights under the law and prosecuting this claim.

- 48. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff's counsel was caused to expend time, energy, and money to investigate Plaintiff's rights under the law and the legitimacy of the alleged Debt.
- 49. The deprivation of Plaintiff's rights will be redressed by a favorable decision herein.
 - 50. A favorable decision herein would redress Plaintiff's injury with money damages.
- 51. A favorable decision herein would serve to deter Defendant from further similar conduct.

FIRST COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) and § 1692f

- 52. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 53. 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) provides that, subject to several exceptions not applicable here, "a debt collector may not communicate, in connection with the collection of any debt," with anyone other than the consumer "without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector."
- 54. The third-party vendor does not fall within any of the exceptions provided for in 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).
- 55. Plaintiff never consented to Defendant's communication with the third-party vendor concerning the alleged Debt.
- 56. Plaintiff never consented to Defendant's communication with the third-party vendor concerning Plaintiff's personal and/or confidential information.
- 57. Plaintiff never consented to Defendant's communication with anyone concerning the alleged Debt or concerning Plaintiff's personal and/or confidential information.

- 58. Upon information and belief, Defendant has utilized a third-party vendor for these purposes thousands of times.
- 59. Defendant utilizes a third-party vendor in this regard for the sole purpose of maximizing its profits.
- 60. Defendant utilizes a third-party vendor without regard to the propriety and privacy of the information which it discloses to such third-party.
- 61. Defendant utilizes a third-party vendor with reckless disregard for the harm to Plaintiff that results from Defendant's unauthorized disclosure of such private and sensitive information.
- 62. Defendant utilizes a third-party vendor with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's right to privacy.
- 63. Defendant utilizes a third-party vendor with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's right against public disclosure of Plaintiff's private facts.
- 64. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) when it disclosed information about Plaintiff's alleged Debt to the third-party vendor.
- 65. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f provides that a debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 66. The unauthorized disclosure of a consumer's private and sensitive information is both unfair and unconscionable.
- 67. Defendant disclosed Plaintiff's private and sensitive information to the third-party vendor.
- 68. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f when it disclosed Plaintiff's private and sensitive information to the third-party vendor.

- 69. As relevant here, Congress enacted the FDCPA upon finding that existing laws and procedures for redressing invasions of individual privacy during the debt collection process were inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)-(b).
- 70. Specifically, Congress sought to protect consumers from communications by debt collectors to third parties. *See* S. Rep. No. 95-382, at 4 (1977) *reprinted in* U.S.C.C.A.N. 1695, 1698.
- 71. As such, a violation of Section 1692c(b) has a close relationship to an invasion of privacy.
 - 72. A violation of Section 1692c(b) is an invasion of privacy.
- 73. A violation of Section 1692c(b) is an injury-in-fact that provides Article III standing.
 - 74. An invasion of privacy is an injury-in-fact that provides Article III standing
 - 75. As described herein, Defendant violated Section 1692c(b).
 - 76. As described herein, Defendant invaded Plaintiff's privacy.
 - 77. As such, Plaintiff has standing to seek redress in this Court.
- 78. A violation of Section 1692c(b) also has a close relationship to a public disclosure of private facts.
 - 79. A violation of Section 1692c(b) is a public disclosure of private facts.
- 80. A violation of Section 1692c(b) is an injury-in-fact that provides Article III standing.
- 81. A public disclosure of private facts is an injury-in-fact that provides Article III standing
 - 82. As described herein, Defendant violated Section 1692c(b).

- 83. As described herein, Defendant publicly disclosed Plaintiff's private facts.
- 84. As such, Plaintiff has standing to seek redress in this Court.
- 85. For the foregoing reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692c(b) and 1692f and is liable to Plaintiff therefor.

SECOND COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g and 1692g(a)(1)

- 86. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 87. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.
- 88. As relevant here, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) requires the written notice provide a statement of the amount of the debt.
- 89. To comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), the statement of the amount of the debt must accurately set forth the actual amount of the debt.
- 90. A statement of the amount of the debt, when the debt is not owed at all by the consumer, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 91. As set forth in paragraphs 15 through 19 of this Complaint, Plaintiff did not owe the Claimed Amount.
- 92. As such, Defendant did not accurately set forth the actual amount of the alleged debt as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 93. In sum, Defendant's statement of the amount of the alleged Debt, when Plaintiff did not owe that amount, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

94. For the foregoing reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g and 1692g(a)(1) and is liable to Plaintiff therefor.

<u>THIRD COUNT</u> <u>Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10)</u>

- 95. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 96. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e provides, generally, that a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 97. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) prohibits the false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of any debt.
- 98. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) prohibits the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 99. An allegation by a debt collector that a consumer owes a certain amount of money when the consumer does not that amount is a violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10).
- 100. As set forth in paragraphs 15 through 19 of this Complaint, Plaintiff did not owe the Claimed Amount.
- 101. As such, Defendant's allegation that Plaintiff owed the Claimed Amount is a false, deceptive, and/or misleading representation made in connection with the collection of the alleged Debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 102. Defendant's allegation that Plaintiff owed the Claimed Amount is a false representation of the character, amount, and/or legal status of the alleged Debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A).
- 103. Defendant's allegation that Plaintiff owed the Claimed Amount is a false representation made in an attempt to collect the alleged Debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).

104. For the foregoing reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) and is liable to Plaintiff therefor.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 105. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all consumers similarly situated in the State of New Jersey.
 - 106. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class of:

All consumers where Defendant sent information concerning the consumer's debt to a third-party vendor without obtaining the prior consent of the consumer, which disclosure was made on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action to the present.

- 107. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
 - 108. The Class consists of more than thirty-five persons.
- 109. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this action affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 110. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.
- 111. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class.

 The management of the class is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised

by this action will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under consumer protection laws.

JURY DEMAND

112. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment be entered as follows:

- a. Certifying this action as a class action; and
- b. Appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel; and
- c. Finding Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and
- d. Awarding damages to Plaintiff and the Class pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- e. Awarding Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k, calculated on a "lodestar" basis; and
- f. Awarding the costs of this action to Plaintiff; and
- g. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest to Plaintiff; all together with
- h. Such other and further relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: September 14, 2021

BARSHAY, RIZZO & LOPEZ, PLLC

By: s/ Todd D. Muhlstock
Todd D. Muhlstock, Esquire, of Counsel
445 Broadhollow Road | Suite CL18
Melville, New York 11747

Tel: (631) 210-7272

Fax: (516) 706-5055 Our File No.: BRL21368 Attorneys for Plaintiff